

MEETING DATE	Wednesday 11 September 2019
Panel reference	2019SNH026 – Hornsby – DA1227/2018 No.6-12 Mount Pleasant Avenue and 91-93 Pennant Hills Road, Normanhurst Construction and use of a 80 place centre based child care facility.
	increase in the school student cap from 1150 to 1230 students and site amalgamation.

In relation to this matter, I declare that I have	e:
no known conflict of interest	
an actual¹ □, potential² □ or reasonab below:	oly perceived³ □ conflict of interest as detailed
Redalle	11 Sept 2019
Signature	Date
Ross WALKER	
Name	

Please return this form to the Planning Panels Secretariat at enquiry@planningpanels.nsw.gov.au

 $^{^{1}}$ An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.

² A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.

³ A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.



MEETING DATE	Wednesday 11 September 2019
Panel reference	2019SNH026 - Hornsby - DA1227/2018
	No.6-12 Mount Pleasant Avenue and 91-93 Pennant Hills Road,
	Normanhurst
	Construction and use of a 80 place centre based child care facility,
	increase in the school student cap from 1150 to 1230 students and site
	amalgamation.

In relation to this matter, I declare that I have:	
no known conflict of interest	
an actual \square , potential \square or reasonably perobelow:	eeived³ □ conflict of interest as detailed
	
cell / lour	11 Sept 2019
Signature	Date
ETEL LEBBAM	
Name	

Please return this form to the Planning Panels Secretariat at enquiry@planningpanels.nsw.gov.au

¹ An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.

² A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.

³ A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.



MEETING DATE	Wednesday 11 September 2019
Panel reference	2019SNH026 - Hornsby - DA1227/2018
	No.6-12 Mount Pleasant Avenue and 91-93 Pennant Hills Road,
	Normanhurst
	Construction and use of a 80 place centre based child care facility,
	increase in the school student cap from 1150 to 1230 students and site amalgamation.

relation to this matter, I declare that I have:
no known conflict of interest
an actual \square , potential \square or reasonably perceived \square conflict of interest as detailed below:
lu Rosell 11 Sept 2019
nature Date
LOHN ROSETH

Please return this form to the Planning Panels Secretariat at enquiry@planningpanels.nsw.gov.au

¹ An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.

² A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.

³ A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.



MEETING DATE	Wednesday 11 September 2019
Panel reference	2019SNH026 – Hornsby – DA1227/2018 No.6-12 Mount Pleasant Avenue and 91-93 Pennant Hills Road, Normanhurst Construction and use of a 80 place centre based child care facility, increase in the school student cap from 1150 to 1230 students and site
	amalgamation.

In relation to this matter, I declare that I have:	
no known conflict of interest ☑	
an actual \square , potential \square or reasonably perbelow:	ceived³ □ conflict of interest as detailed
De Reto	11 Sept 2019
Signature	Date
Danid Waite	
lame	

Please return this form to the Planning Panels Secretariat at enquiry@planningpanels.nsw.gov.au

¹ An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.

² A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.

³ A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.



MEETING DATE	Wednesday 11 September 2019
Panel reference	2019SNH026 – Hornsby – DA1227/2018 No.6-12 Mount Pleasant Avenue and 91-93 Pennant Hills Road, Normanhurst Construction and use of a 80 place centre based child care facility, increase in the school student cap from 1150 to 1230 students and site amalgamation.

In relation to this matter, I declare that I have:
no known conflict of interest ☑
an actual \Box , potential \Box or reasonably perceived \Box conflict of interest as detailed below:
11 Sept 2019
Signature Date
Name

Please return this form to the Planning Panels Secretariat at enquiry@planningpanels.nsw.gov.au

¹ An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.

² A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.

³ A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.